

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,239	03/25/2004	Adrian Eich	34123/US	6686
7590 10/05/2007 David E. Bruhn, Esq.			EXAMINER	
10/809,239 03/25/2004 Adrian Eich 7590 10/05/2007	WITCZAK, CATHERINE			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			. 3767	
			· .	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/809,239	EICH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Catherine N. Witczak	3767	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16	July 2007.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ Th	nis action is non-final.	1	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•		
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>1,3-11,13 and 32-35</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 3-11, 13 and 32-35</u> is/are rejecte	d.	·	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	''	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:		3 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
 Certified copies of the priority docume Certified copies of the priority docume 		polication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr		••	
application from the International Bure	•		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	•	received.	
Attachment(s)	, , ,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	•
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of I	nformal Patent Application	
	-/		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20071001

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/16/2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1, 3-11, 13, and 32-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray (US 6,019,745) as modified by Kohno et al (US 5,359,379).

Gray discloses in Figures 1 and 3 an ampoule (1) comprising a dispensing end (5) and a distal end (2) comprising a surface perpendicular to an axis along dispensing end, the surface comprising two reference recognition elements (16 and 17) written on predetermined concentric positions on the surface of the ampoule. Furthermore, Gray discloses in columns 6 and 7 the recognitions elements generate one of electrical and magnetic fields of different strengths.

Gray discloses the claimed invention except for the recognition elements being arranged asymmetrically, and at least one reference recognition element situated on different sized concentric circles, with the angular distance between any two positions being different that the angular distance



between any other two positions. Kohno et al disclose in Figure 3 that it is known to arrange recognition elements asymmetrically in more than one possible predetermined position on different sized concentric circles (14 and 15). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system as taught by Gray by arranging recognition elements asymmetrically on different sized concentric circles as taught by Kohno to provide a recognition system for reading and decoding a code on an article.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Catherine N. Witczak whose telephone number is (571) 272-7179. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8-5 EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571) 272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

WWW. 9/30/97

Application/Control Number: 10/809,239

CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3767

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR

cw

KEVIN C. SIRMONS

WWW 9/36/22

Merci C. firmons